

The Shape of μ – How Morphological Analyses Shape the Study of Microglia

Lance Fredrick Pahutan Bosch^{1,2} and Katrin Kierdorf^{1,3,4*}

¹ Institute of Neuropathology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany, ² Faculty of Biology, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany, ³ Center for Basics in NeuroModulation (NeuroModulBasics), Faculty of Medicine, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany, ⁴ CIBSS–Centre for Integrative Biological Signalling Studies, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany

Microglia, the innate immune cells of the CNS parenchyma, serve as the first line of defense in a myriad of neurodevelopmental, neurodegenerative, and neuroinflammatory conditions. In response to the peripheral inflammation, circulating mediators, and other external signals that are produced by these conditions, microglia dynamically employ different transcriptional programs as well as morphological adaptations to maintain homeostasis. To understand these cells' function, the field has established a number of essential analysis approaches, such as gene expression, cell quantification, and morphological reconstruction. Although high-throughput approaches are becoming commonplace in regard to other types of analyses (e.g., single-cell scRNA-seq), a similar standard for morphological reconstruction has yet to be established. In this review, we offer an overview of microglial morphological analysis methods, exploring the advantages and disadvantages of each, highlighting a number of key studies, and emphasizing how morphological analysis has significantly contributed to our understanding of microglial function in the CNS parenchyma. In doing so, we advocate for the use of unbiased, automated morphological reconstruction approaches in future studies, in order to capitalize on the valuable information embedded in the cellular structures microglia inhabit.

Keywords: microglia, microglia morphology, 3D reconstruction, *in vivo* imaging, electron microscopy, automated image analysis

INTRODUCTION

Microglia are the central force of the central nervous system's (CNS) innate immune system, serving as the first parenchymal respondents in a multitude of neurodevelopmental, neurodegenerative, and neuroinflammatory diseases (Kierdorf and Prinz, 2017; Li and Barres, 2018). Emerging from embryonic progenitors during development, microglia differentiate into specialized tissue-resident macrophages possessing small immotile cell somas surrounded by elongated, ramified processes that are constantly surveying the surrounding CNS parenchyma (Davalos et al., 2005; Nimmerjahn et al., 2005). There is little to no overlap in the parenchymal volumes monitored by individual microglia, resulting in a grid-like system that efficiently and effectively guards the CNS (Kettenmann et al., 2011). Upon insult, however, this system is disrupted. Microglia undergo rapid morphological transitions: from their ramified, homeostatic form; to a hypertrophic state; and ultimately to motile, amoeboid shapes. Those in the immediate vicinity of an insulting stimuli then become activated, rapidly migrate toward the insult, proliferate, and release inflammation-resolving

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Morgane Thion, Insitut de Biologie de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure, France

Reviewed by:

Vinicia Campana Biancardi, Auburn University, United States

***Correspondence:** Katrin Kierdorf katrin.kierdorf@uniklinik-freiburg.de

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Non-Neuronal Cells, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience

> **Received:** 12 May 2022 **Accepted:** 13 June 2022 **Published:** 29 June 2022

Citation:

Bosch LFP and Kierdorf K (2022) The Shape of μ—How Morphological Analyses Shape the Study of Microglia. Front. Cell. Neurosci. 16:942462. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2022.942462 cytokines. Upon resolution, these cells return to their ramified states and resume their homeostatic network behaviors (Roufagalas et al., 2021). Although the activation-induced adjustment to an amoeboid state is a well-known activation form, microglia can exhibit a wide range of morphologies depending on the insult or disease condition (Erny et al., 2015; Savage et al., 2019; Lopez-Rodriguez et al., 2021; van Olst et al., 2021). Indeed, this morphological plasticity is a defining feature of microglia, and has been noted as such since their discovery by Del Rio-Hortega (1919, 1932).

Recent advances in high-throughput, single cell transcriptomic profiling allowed for the definition of certain genes as markers for microglial activation states: the homeostatic markers Transmembrane protein 119 (Tmem119) and purinergic receptor P2ry12 (Bennett et al., 2016; van Wageningen et al., 2019); as well as the neurodegeneration-associated markers Triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2 (Trem2) and Apolipoprotein E (ApoE) (Keren-Shaul et al., 2017; Krasemann et al., 2017). Meanwhile, links between morphological states and distinct activation states are yet to be similarly defined. Moreover, though morphological reconstruction is an essential method of analyzing microglial adaptation in response to inflammation, released mediators, and disease conditions in many studies (Savage et al., 2019), it is rarely employed in the high-throughput manner that has become commonplace for gene expression analyses (e.g., scRNA-seq). This is especially interesting given that many morphological characteristics of microglia appear to be evolutionarily conserved across species-unlike astrocytes, for example (Oberheim et al., 2009). Studies have shown that although murine microglia may exhibit a more heterogeneous range of cell-body shapes than that of humans, many of their characteristics remain consistent, including size (mouse vs. human) (Torres-Platas et al., 2014) or number of primary processes (rat vs. human) (Kongsui et al., 2014). Consequently, high-throughput morphological analyses of microglia in animal models have the prospect of generating pathology-relevant knowledge that is potentially translatable to humans.

Here, we provide a summary of the techniques available in microglial morphological analyses, as well as their evolution over time. We further discuss the advantages and disadvantages of each technique, highlighting some key studies that have expanded our comprehension of microglia. Simultaneously, we emphasize the need for automated, high-throughput reconstruction approaches in future studies to further extract the valuable information that lie in the unique structures microglia inhabit.

ASSESSMENT OF MICROGLIAL MORPHOLOGY ON HISTOLOGICAL SECTIONS (2D)

Since their debut description by Pío del Río-Hortega, the morphology of microglia has been key to understanding their function (Del Rio-Hortega, 1919). Through a silver carbonate staining protocol, microglia-specific staining in 20 μ m sections (Del Rio-Hortega, 1919, 1932) could be achieved, revealing: their small cell somas; their arboreal protrusions; and their

ability to transmute themselves in response to specific stimuli, such as the already earlier described phagocytic "*Stäbchenzellen*" or engulfing "granuloadipose bodies" (Nissl, 1899; Achúcarro, 1909). These features were recorded in hand-drawn depictions following observation under simple light microscopes.

Though the options have widened over the last century, 2D morphological reconstructions of microglia have continued to be a relevant method of analysis in the field. To date, microglia are labeled by either antibodies against markers such as ionized binding adaptor protein 1 (Iba-1) in immunohistochemistry or immunofluorescence staining, or by transgenic reporter models such as the $Cx3cr1^{GFP}$ mouse model (Jung et al., 2000), imaged, and subsequently analyzed. Here, thin sections, similar to those first used by del Río-Hortega, are preferred to reduce the possibility of capturing overlapping cells. Initially, studies employing this style of morphological analysis simply showcased representative images of microglia in the analyzed conditions, as the difference between the homeostatic and activated cells was considered to be self-evident (Ayoub and Salm, 2003; Mildner et al., 2011; Streit et al., 2018). Later, these representative images were often paired with quantifications to enumerate the extent to which a particular genetic perturbation, disease condition, or intervention activates the microglial compartment; manual measurements of parameters like branch length and cell soma size are also used to highlight subtle changes (Torres-Platas et al., 2014). To mitigate analyzer subjectivity, morphologic assessments can be accompanied by descriptions of the cells' anatomical localization and expression of certain surface markers; for example, the correlations shown to exist between amoeboid microglia and amyloid-beta plaques (Plescher et al., 2018).

Currently, automated approaches are being developed, improving the reproducibility of results as well as allowing more complex parameters to be measured from the same 2D imaging data. In these approaches, 2D images undergo a binarization via signal-thresholding (Zanier et al., 2015), which can then be further quality controlled (e.g., branch-joining) (Fernández-Arjona et al., 2017). Following this, software such as ImageJ can calculate parameters such as fractal dimension, lacunarity, and convex hull area (Orłowski et al., 2003; Karperien et al., 2013; Karperien and Jelinek, 2015; Fernández-Arjona et al., 2017), which can then be used to assess the structural complexity of a given microglia (Verdonk et al., 2016). Moreover, the binarized signal can be reinterpreted into a 2D cell skeleton, allowing for other complexity calculations (Soltys et al., 2005). Through these means, microglial morphology can be evaluated along multiple axes (e.g., principal component analysis (PCA), hierarchical clustering) (Verdonk et al., 2016; Fernández-Arjona et al., 2017), and ergo, rigid categories such as ramified and amoeboid can be replaced by more nuanced, value-derived categories.

The simplicity of this popular method of morphological analysis is also the source of its major limitations. The thin sections are not likely to capture a microglia's entire structure within frame, meaning unique structures may be misrepresented in the XY plane (**Figure 1**) (Taylor et al., 2014). Thus, regardless of the fact that 2D morphological assessments on histological sections constitute a simple yet robust tool for microglial analysis, appropriate measures must be taken to prevent data misinterpretation.

3D RENDERING OF MICROGLIAL MORPHOLOGY VIA SERIAL ELECTRON MICROSCOPY

Microglia were first visualized within the rat parietal cortex via transmission electron microscopy (TEM) in the late 1950's (Schultz et al., 1957). In these images, microglia could be identified by ultrastructural morphological features such as: nuclear membrane-associated electron-dense heterochromatin; small, bean-shaped nuclei; and a thin cell soma containing lysosomes, vesicles, and mitochondria (Mori and Leblond, 1969; Shapiro et al., 2009). Given the high subcellular resolution of these images, intracellular functional changes can be studied, such as phagocytosis, mitochondrial dysfunction, proliferation, and apoptosis. Intercellular changes can also be tracked; somatic microglia-neuron junctions were recently demonstrated by the use of this technique (Cserép et al., 2020, 2021). With the identification of microglia being further simplified via Immunogold staining, EM is a reliable tool in diagnosing microglial function in the healthy and diseased CNS in a variety of species (Savage et al., 2019; Császár et al., 2022).

In spite of these advantages, the ultrathin sections required for EM limit its usefulness in analyzing microglial morphological features in 2D. However, this shortcoming was bypassed through the development of serial sectioning electron microscopy (SSEM)-where EM is performed on consecutive sectionsallowing researchers to create semi-3D reconstructions with the same level of ultrastructural detail. Nonetheless, SSEM can only join a limited number of sections and cannot always reconstruct ramified microglial cells in their entirety (Stalder et al., 2001; Tremblay et al., 2010). Recently this has been achieved in the murine hippocampus using serial block face scanning electron microscopy (SBEM) (Bolasco et al., 2018). This SBEM study offered a unique view on microglial morphology in the steady-state CNS: not only could features such as filopodia be very exactly measured, but also subcellular features such as organelles could be precisely measured concurrently (Bolasco et al., 2018). Still, both SSEM and SBEM require a high level of EM imaging expertise, time-intensive work, and expensive equipment, therefore making EM difficult to utilize without access to the necessary facilities. The large data sets generated by EM approaches are also not yet adequately addressed by these technical developments. Nevertheless, SSEM- and SBEM-based 3D reconstructions remain a powerful tool to explore microglial morphological changes at a subcellular resolution, making it ideal for immunometabolic or ultrastructural interaction studies.

ANALYZING MICROGLIAL MORPHOLOGY *IN VIVO*

In contrast to imaging brain sections, *in vivo* imaging provides the possibility of recording the morphological shifts of microglia

over time, as well as the dynamics of those shifts. A common method to achieve in vivo imaging is through the creation of an acute or chronic skull window in microglial reporter mice, such as *Cx3cr1^{GFP}* mice (Davalos et al., 2005; Nimmerjahn et al., 2005; Meyer-Luehmann et al., 2008). This skull window can consist of an area of thinned bone (Davalos et al., 2005; Nimmerjahn et al., 2005; Yang et al., 2010), a skull area replaced with a glass coverslip (Levasseur et al., 1975; Meyer-Luehmann et al., 2008; Holtmaat et al., 2009), optically cleared bone (Zhao et al., 2018), or other variants (Portera-Cailliau et al., 2005; Drew et al., 2010; Nimmerjahn, 2012; Shih et al., 2012; Cruz-Martin and Portera-Cailliau, 2014; Dombeck and Tank, 2014; Dorand et al., 2014; Goldey et al., 2014; Roome and Kuhn, 2014; Takehara et al., 2014; Heo et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2016). Through this window, a two photon microscope is able to excite the expressed fluorescent proteins, visualize microglia within the range of its camera system, and record their behavior over time. With this spatiotemporally sensitive technique, the dynamic nature of microglial morphology can be appreciated in a way that is impossible in static images.

As opposed to their description as "resting" based on their appearance in static images, in vivo imaging revealed that steady-state microglia are anything but at rest (Streit et al., 1988). Rather, adult microglia were found constantly surveying their surroundings, extending and retracting processes at an average rate of 1.5 µm per minute (Nimmerjahn et al., 2005; Fuhrmann et al., 2010). Moreover, adjacent microglia can initiate a targeted movement of their processes to respond to potential tissue damage, performing homeostasis-restoring functions such as the isolation of lesioned blood vessels or removal of dead cells in laser-induced brain lesions (Davalos et al., 2005; Nimmerjahn et al., 2005; d'Errico et al., 2022). This monitoring of the functional response of microglia and how it relates to their morphological state has also been performed over longer time windows, allowing for their description over several months during healthy aging as well as in Alzheimer's disease mouse models (Hefendehl et al., 2014; Füger et al., 2017). These morphological and behavioral changes can be represented as dose-response curves in relation to a given stimuli (e.g., microglial translocation vs. days of Csf1r inhibitor treatment), therefore measuring parameters that cannot be calculated with precision in static images (Davalos et al., 2005; Mendes et al., 2021).

Whilst *in vivo* analyses play a crucial role in deciphering microglial function, the surgical procedure required to collect the imaging data introduces restrictions and artifacts pertinent to this method alone. First, the skull window can potentially cause localized inflammation in the CNS parenchyma, creating technical artifacts in the data (Hefendehl et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2018). In addition, as the method can only image at a depth relative to the skull window created (e.g., 500–1,000 μ m from the pial surface), certain CNS regions are barred from an *in vivo* analysis approach (Hierro-Bujalance et al., 2018). Second, the technique relies on the labeling of cells *via* fluorescent reporters or the injection of antibodies and dyes, the ability to explore the morphological adaptations of specific microglial subpopulations

tends to be reliant on the availability of suitable transgenic reporter lines. This reliance has the potential to produce artifacts: in *Cx3cr1*^{GFP} mice—a commonly used reporter line—the knockin of the transgenic eGFP into the Cx3cr1 locus has been reported to alter the morphological and behavioral dynamics of microglia in select contexts (Kierdorf et al., 2013; Lowery et al., 2017). Additionally, whether a particular experimental condition affects the fluorescence of the labeled cells also needs to be taken into consideration. For example, laser ablation can cause a loss of EGFP fluorescence within a 20 μm radius in Cx3cr1^{GFP} mice (Davalos et al., 2005). Third, the time scale used in an in vivo imaging analysis can significantly affect the amount of data processing required: a day's worth of imaging data assessed by hour results in 24 analyzable data points, whereas analyzing by minute results in 2880 analyzable data points. How to interpret the recorded morphological shifts is a similarly important consideration. Calculating the movement of all microglial processes within a given radius (Davalos et al., 2005) is less time-intensive than recording the microglial response on a per-protrusion level (Nimmerjahn et al., 2005), though it lacks the ability to decipher response heterogeneity within the population. Conversely, interpreting the morphological shifts in fine detail often necessitates the analysis of a few representative cells, introducing selection bias. Thankfully, automated pipelines can reduce the laboriousness of analyzing in vivo data and therefore eliminate the need to compromise. Following a thresholding procedure, microglial response to a stimulus or condition can be interpreted by software through the use of user-defined thresholds in specific morphological parameters (e.g., cell soma size) (Kozlowski and Weimer, 2012; Bosco et al., 2015; Bloomfield et al., 2018). Even amorphous microglial states can be determined in vivo via the creation of indices that interpret shifts in multiple parameters simultaneously (e.g., an inflammation index) (Clarke et al., 2021). Moreover, other pipelines have been developed that are able to track the movements of single processes, allowing for the batch processing of in vivo imaging data without significant user intervention (Paris et al., 2018). As a result, these pipelines can be used in combination with advanced in vivo imaging setups to allow researchers to systematically interpret morphological changes longitudinally, for which there is no analytic equivalent.

3D RECONSTRUCTION OF MICROGLIAL MORPHOLOGY VIA CONFOCAL MICROSCOPY

With the ongoing advances in confocal laser microscopy, the reconstruction of microglial structures in 3D can be considered to be the gold standard of morphological analyses. Applying many of the same principles used in 2D analysis, the mere addition of the Z dimension in 3D reconstructions allows the analyzer to process sections upwards of 100 μ m, significantly reducing the possibility of misrepresenting a particular cell's structure (**Figure 1**). With typical adult microglial

cells arranging themselves at a consistent nuclei distance of approximately 60 µm (unpublished observation), these thick sections are able to capture a cell with all its processes. Thus, it is possible to accurately observe atypical microglial shapes that 2D analyses can struggle with (Savage et al., 2019). Furthermore, 3D imaging opens up the possibility of tracing individual microglial processes-from tip to rootin specialized programs such as IMARIS, permitting new morphological information to be acquired from these cells. These included parameters such as the number of terminal points, branch points, and number of cell segments (Goldmann et al., 2013; Kierdorf et al., 2013; Hagemeyer et al., 2016; Masuch et al., 2016). Moreover, these 3D representations allow for the quantification of parameters once arduous to decipher, such as cell volume and dendrite length, accurate to the nanometer (Erny et al., 2015). These advances allowed researchers to better evaluate microglial morphology not only as differences in kind (amoeboid or ramified, activated or homeostatic) but as differences in degree (retracted processes, reductions in branching complexity). Because of this ability to measure nuances, 3D reconstructions proved crucial in our understanding of the effects of environmental factors, disease conditions, or genetic perturbations, such as the influence of the host microbiota on microglial development, function, and maturation (Erny et al., 2015; Mezö et al., 2020; Mossad et al., 2022).

The benefits offered by 3D morphological reconstructions are not without caveats. Though a plethora of information can be extracted from a single reconstructed cell, the process of generating these models is often a tedious, time-intensive endeavor. The method is semi-automatic at best, often requiring manual editing of each reconstruction to represent cells faithfully. To compensate for this time investment, representative cells have to be chosen for each experimental condition, introducing the bias inherent in such selections. Worse still, this can result in morphological analyses that may include many biological replicates, experimental conditions, and timepoints, whilst ultimately representing the information embedded within a few dozen cells, risking the creation of a skewed dataset. Interestingly, though it addresses the limitations of 2D morphological analyses, the limitations of 3D reconstructions showcase why the two methods are often utilized in tandem: what is gained in fine detail is lost in an appreciation of the larger context, and vice versa.

There is, however, a growing number of resources available that enable reconstructions with minimal analyzer bias. Designed in programming languages such as C++(Xiao and Peng, 2013; Megjhani et al., 2015) and MATLAB (Heindl et al., 2018; York et al., 2018; Abdolhoseini et al., 2019), these published tools algorithmically reconstruct all the microglia captured in a given image *en masse*. These automated analysis pipelines therefore minimize both the time investment and the selection bias that were once inextricable from 3D reconstruction approaches, markedly improving the viability and scalability of the analysis. Furthermore, this facilitates the reconstruction of a greater number of microglia for every experimental condition of interest, resulting in datasets that exhibit the range of



sectioning, its bipolar branch structure can be accurately represented.

microglial morphologies that can occur simultaneously. An additional advantage is that many published algorithms are accompanied by thorough documentation, making it possible

for the user to optimize the algorithms to their dataset. With each tool producing a customized set of data outputs, these automated options can enable researchers to analyze

the specific morphological parameters that best address their research questions.

DISCUSSION

Given the volume of knowledge that has been ascertained about microglia through the use of the techniques described here, it is clear that morphological analysis will continue to be a mainstay within the field of neuroimmunology. By interpreting their structural adaptations in tandem with their transcriptomic profiles, it is possible to elucidate the heterogeneous and plastic nature of microglial function across development, health, and disease—as has been done in the research we have highlighted in this review. Yet, in an age of scientific publishing wherein single cell RNA sequencing of thousands of cells is considered to be a necessity, it is unfortunate that the morphological analysis of a meager amount of microglia can be considered sufficient. However, as we emphasized above, automated morphological analysis approaches are becoming more sophisticated and more accessible, and are designed for use in complement with new cutting-edge imaging techniques. These innovative approaches could soon become the new gold standard, ushering in an era wherein the morphological characteristics of whole microglial populations are efficiently evaluated with the depth previously reserved for single cells. Population-level datasets are also likely to provide insights into microglial function that could not be captured due to the technical limitations of the past. What

REFERENCES

- Abdolhoseini, M., Kluge, M. G., Walker, F. R., and Johnson, S. J. (2019). Segmentation, Tracing, and Quantification of Microglial Cells from 3D Image Stacks. Sci. Rep. 9:8557. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-44917-6
- Achúcarro, N. (1909). Cellules Allongées Et Stäbechenzellen: Cellules Neurogliques Et Cellules Granulo-Adipeuses Á La Corne D'ammon Du Lapin. Paris: Nicolás Moya.
- Ayoub, A. E., and Salm, A. K. (2003). Increased Morphological Diversity of Microglia in the Activated Hypothalamic Supraoptic Nucleus. J. Neurosci. 23, 7759–7766. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.23-21-07759.2003
- Bennett, M. L., Bennett, F. C., Liddelow, S. A., Ajami, B., Zamanian, J. L., Fernhoff, N. B., et al. (2016). New tools for studying microglia in the mouse and human CNS. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 113, E1738–E1746. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 1525528113
- Bloomfield, P. S., Bonsall, D., Wells, L., Dormann, D., Howes, O., and De Paola, V. (2018). The effects of haloperidol on microglial morphology and translocator protein levels: an *in vivo* study in rats using an automated cell evaluation pipeline. *J. Psychopharmacol.* 32, 1264–1272. doi: 10.1177/0269881118788830
- Bolasco, G., Weinhard, L., Boissonnet, T., Neujahr, R., and Gross, C. T. (2018). Three-Dimensional Nanostructure of an Intact Microglia Cell. Front. Neuroanat. 12:105. doi: 10.3389/fnana.2018.00105
- Bosco, A., Romero, C. O., Ambati, B. K., and Vetter, M. L. (2015). *In vivo* dynamics of retinal microglial activation during neurodegeneration: confocal ophthalmoscopic imaging and cell morphometry in mouse glaucoma. *J. Vis. Exp.* 99:e52731. doi: 10.3791/52731
- Clarke, D., Crombag, H. S., and Hall, C. N. (2021). An open-source pipeline for analysing changes in microglial morphology. *Open Biol.* 11:210045. doi: 10.1098/rsob.210045
- Cruz-Martin, A., and Portera-Cailliau, C. (2014). *In vivo* imaging of axonal and dendritic structures in neonatal mouse cortex. *Cold Spring Harb. Protoc.* 2014, 57–64. doi: 10.1101/pdb.prot080150

insights into microglial function may specifically be gleaned remains to be seen, but what is certain is that our understanding of microglia is sure to expand through an increased utilization of these unbiased, high-throughput techniques.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

LB wrote the manuscript and designed the figures. KK conceptualized, wrote, and edited this review. Both authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

KK was supported by a project grant of the Fritz Thyssen Foundation, by the German Research Foundation (DFG) with a project grant (Project ID: 432207796), as well as project grants within the SFB/TRR167 (Project ID: 259373024) and the CRC1479 (Project ID: 441891347) and by the DFG under Germany's Excellence Strategy (grant no. CIBSS—EXC-2189, Project ID: 390939984).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

All figures were created with Biorender.com. We apologize to all colleagues in the microglia field and beyond whose work we could not cite here due to space limitations.

- Császár, E., Lénárt, N., Cserép, C., Környei, Z., Fekete, R., Pósfai, B., et al. (2022). Microglia modulate blood flow, neurovascular coupling, and hypoperfusion via purinergic actions. J. Exp. Med. 219:e20211071. doi: 10.1084/jem.2021 1071
- Cserép, C., Pósfai, B., and Dénes, Á (2021). Shaping Neuronal Fate: functional Heterogeneity of Direct Microglia-Neuron Interactions. *Neuron* 109, 222–240. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2020.11.007
- Cserép, C., Pósfai, B., Lénárt, N., Fekete, R., László, Z. I., Lele, Z., et al. (2020). Microglia monitor and protect neuronal function through specialized somatic purinergic junctions. *Science* 367, 528–537. doi: 10.1126/science.aax6752
- d'Errico, P., Ziegler-Waldkirch, S., Aires, V., Hoffmann, P., Mezö, C., Erny, D., et al. (2022). Microglia contribute to the propagation of Aβ into unaffected brain tissue. *Nat. Neurosci.* 25, 20–25. doi: 10.1038/s41593-021-00951-0
- Davalos, D., Grutzendler, J., Yang, G., Kim, J. V., Zuo, Y., Jung, S., et al. (2005). ATP mediates rapid microglial response to local brain injury *in vivo*. *Nat. Neurosci.* 8, 752–758. doi: 10.1038/nn1472
- Del Rio-Hortega, P. (1919). El "tercer elemento" de los centros nerviosus. I. La microglia en estado normal. II. Intervencion de la microglia en los procesos patologicos (Celulas en bastoncito y cuerpos granuloadiposos). III. Naturaleza probable de la microglia. *Bol. Soc. Espan. Biol.* 9, 68–120.
- Del Rio-Hortega, P. (1932). "Microglia," in Cytology and Cellular Pathology of the Nervous System, ed. W. Penfield (New York: P.B. Hoeber), 483–534.
- Dombeck, D., and Tank, D. (2014). Two-photon imaging of neural activity in awake mobile mice. *Cold Spring Harb. Protoc.* 2014, 726–736. doi: 10.1101/pdb. top081810
- Dorand, R. D., Barkauskas, D. S., Evans, T. A., Petrosiute, A., and Huang, A. Y. (2014). Comparison of intravital thinned skull and cranial window approaches to study CNS immunobiology in the mouse cortex. *Intravital* 3:e29728. doi: 10.4161/intv.29728
- Drew, P. J., Shih, A. Y., Driscoll, J. D., Knutsen, P. M., Blinder, P., Davalos, D., et al. (2010). Chronic optical access through a polished and reinforced thinned skull. *Nat. Methods* 7, 981–984. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.1530

- Erny, D., Hrabě de Angelis, A. L., Jaitin, D., Wieghofer, P., Staszewski, O., David, E., et al. (2015). Host microbiota constantly control maturation and function of microglia in the CNS. *Nat. Neurosci.* 18, 965–977. doi: 10.1038/nn.4030
- Fernández-Arjona, M. D. M., Grondona, J. M., Granados-Durán, P., Fernández-Llebrez, P., and López-Ávalos, M. D. (2017). Microglia Morphological Categorization in a Rat Model of Neuroinflammation by Hierarchical Cluster and Principal Components Analysis. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 11:235. doi: 10.3389/ fncel.2017.00235
- Füger, P., Hefendehl, J. K., Veeraraghavalu, K., Wendeln, A.-C., Schlosser, C., Obermüller, U., et al. (2017). Microglia turnover with aging and in an Alzheimer's model via long-term in vivo single-cell imaging. Nat. Neurosci. 20, 1371–1376. doi: 10.1038/nn.4631
- Fuhrmann, M., Bittner, T., Jung, C. K. E., Burgold, S., Page, R. M., Mitteregger, G., et al. (2010). Microglial Cx3cr1 knockout prevents neuron loss in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Nat. Neurosci.* 13, 411–413. doi: 10.1038/nn.2511
- Goldey, G. J., Roumis, D. K., Glickfeld, L. L., Kerlin, A. M., Reid, R. C., Bonin, V., et al. (2014). Removable cranial windows for long-term imaging in awake mice. *Nat. Protoc.* 9, 2515–2538. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2014.165
- Goldmann, T., Wieghofer, P., Müller, P. F., Wolf, Y., Varol, D., Yona, S., et al. (2013). A new type of microglia gene targeting shows TAK1 to be pivotal in CNS autoimmune inflammation. *Nat. Neurosci.* 16, 1618–1626. doi: 10.1038/nn.3531
- Hagemeyer, N., Kierdorf, K., Frenzel, K., Xue, J., Ringelhan, M., Abdullah, Z., et al. (2016). Transcriptome-based profiling of yolk sac-derived macrophages reveals a role for Irf8 in macrophage maturation. *EMBO J.* 35, 1730–1744. doi: 10.15252/embj.201693801
- Hefendehl, J. K., Neher, J. J., Sühs, R. B., Kohsaka, S., Skodras, A., and Jucker, M. (2014). Homeostatic and injury-induced microglia behavior in the aging brain. *Aging Cell* 13, 60–69. doi: 10.1111/acel.12149
- Hefendehl, J. K., Wegenast-Braun, B. M., Liebig, C., Eicke, D., Milford, D., Calhoun, M. E., et al. (2011). Long-Term In Vivo Imaging of β-Amyloid Plaque Appearance and Growth in a Mouse Model of Cerebral β-Amyloidosis. *J. Neurosci.* 31, 624–629. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5147-10.2011
- Heindl, S., Gesierich, B., Benakis, C., Llovera, G., Duering, M., and Liesz, A. (2018). Automated Morphological Analysis of Microglia After Stroke. Front. Cell. Neurosci. 12:106. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2018.00106
- Heo, C., Park, H., Kim, Y.-T., Baeg, E., Kim, Y. H., Kim, S.-G., et al. (2016). A soft, transparent, freely accessible cranial window for chronic imaging and electrophysiology. *Sci. Rep.* 6:27818. doi: 10.1038/srep27818
- Hierro-Bujalance, C., Bacskai, B. J., and Garcia-Alloza, M. (2018). In Vivo Imaging of Microglia With Multiphoton Microscopy. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 10:218. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2018.00218
- Holtmaat, A., Bonhoeffer, T., Chow, D. K., Chuckowree, J., De Paola, V., Hofer, S. B., et al. (2009). Long-term, high-resolution imaging in the mouse neocortex through a chronic cranial window. *Nat. Protoc.* 4, 1128–1144. doi: 10.1038/ nprot.2009.89
- Jung, S., Aliberti, J., Graemmel, P., Sunshine, M. J., Kreutzberg, G. W., Sher, A., et al. (2000). Analysis of Fractalkine Receptor CX3CR1 Function by Targeted Deletion and Green Fluorescent Protein Reporter Gene Insertion. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 20, 4106–4114. doi: 10.1128/MCB.20.11.4106-4114.2000
- Karperien, A. L., and Jelinek, H. F. (2015). Fractal, multifractal, and lacunarity analysis of microglia in tissue engineering. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 3:51. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2015.00051
- Karperien, A., Ahammer, H., and Jelinek, H. F. (2013). Quantitating the subtleties of microglial morphology with fractal analysis. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 7:3. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2013.00003
- Keren-Shaul, H., Spinrad, A., Weiner, A., Matcovitch-Natan, O., Dvir-Szternfeld, R., Ulland, T. K., et al. (2017). A Unique Microglia Type Associated with Restricting Development of Alzheimer's Disease. *Cell* 169, 1276–1290.e17. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2017.05.018
- Kettenmann, H., Hanisch, U.-K., Noda, M., and Verkhratsky, A. (2011). Physiology of microglia. *Physiol. Rev.* 91, 461–553. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00011.2010
- Kierdorf, K., and Prinz, M. (2017). Microglia in steady state. J. Clin. Invest. 127, 3201–3209. doi: 10.1172/JCI90602
- Kierdorf, K., Erny, D., Goldmann, T., Sander, V., Schulz, C., Perdiguero, E. G., et al. (2013). Microglia emerge from erythromyeloid precursors via Pu.1- and Irf8-dependent pathways. Nat. Neurosci. 16, 273–280. doi: 10.1038/nn.3318
- Kim, T. H., Zhang, Y., Lecoq, J., Jung, J. C., Li, J., Zeng, H., et al. (2016). Long-Term Optical Access to an Estimated One Million Neurons in the Live Mouse Cortex. *Cell Rep.* 17, 3385–3394. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2016.12.004

- Kongsui, R., Beynon, S. B., Johnson, S. J., and Walker, F. R. (2014). Quantitative assessment of microglial morphology and density reveals remarkable consistency in the distribution and morphology of cells within the healthy prefrontal cortex of the rat. J. Neuroinflamm. 11:182. doi: 10.1186/s12974-014-0182-7
- Kozlowski, C., and Weimer, R. M. (2012). An automated method to quantify microglia morphology and application to monitor activation state longitudinally *in vivo*. *PLoS One* 7:e31814. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.003 1814
- Krasemann, S., Madore, C., Cialic, R., Baufeld, C., Calcagno, N., El Fatimy, R., et al. (2017). The TREM2-APOE Pathway Drives the Transcriptional Phenotype of Dysfunctional Microglia in Neurodegenerative Diseases. *Immunity* 47, 566– 581.e9. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2017.08.008
- Levasseur, J. E., Wei, E. P., Raper, A. J., Kontos, A. A., and Patterson, J. L. (1975). Detailed description of a cranial window technique for acute and chronic experiments. *Stroke* 6, 308–317. doi: 10.1161/01.str.6.3.308
- Li, Q., and Barres, B. A. (2018). Microglia and macrophages in brain homeostasis and disease. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 18, 225–242. doi: 10.1038/nri.2017.125
- Lopez-Rodriguez, A. B., Hennessy, E., Murray, C. L., Nazmi, A., Delaney, H. J., Healy, D., et al. (2021). Acute systemic inflammation exacerbates neuroinflammation in Alzheimer's disease: iL-1β drives amplified responses in primed astrocytes and neuronal network dysfunction. *Alzheimers Dement*. 17, 1735–1755. doi: 10.1002/alz.12341
- Lowery, R. L., Tremblay, M.-E., Hopkins, B. E., and Majewska, A. K. (2017). The microglial fractalkine receptor is not required for activity-dependent plasticity in the mouse visual system. *Glia* 65, 1744–1761. doi: 10.1002/glia.23192
- Masuch, A., van der Pijl, R., Füner, L., Wolf, Y., Eggen, B., Boddeke, E., et al. (2016). Microglia replenished OHSC: a culture system to study *in vivo* like adult microglia. *Glia* 64, 1285–1297. doi: 10.1002/glia.23002
- Megjhani, M., Rey-Villamizar, N., Merouane, A., Lu, Y., Mukherjee, A., Trett, K., et al. (2015). Population-scale three-dimensional reconstruction and quantitative profiling of microglia arbors. *Bioinformatics* 31, 2190–2198. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv109
- Mendes, M. S., Le, L., Atlas, J., Brehm, Z., Ladron-de-Guevara, A., Matei, E., et al. (2021). The role of P2Y12 in the kinetics of microglial self-renewal and maturation in the adult visual cortex *in vivo*. *Elife* 10:e61173. doi: 10.7554/eLife. 61173
- Meyer-Luehmann, M., Spires-Jones, T. L., Prada, C., Garcia-Alloza, M., de Calignon, A., Rozkalne, A., et al. (2008). Rapid appearance and local toxicity of amyloid-β plaques in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Nature* 451, 720–724. doi: 10.1038/nature06616
- Mezö, C., Dokalis, N., Mossad, O., Staszewski, O., Neuber, J., Yilmaz, B., et al. (2020). Different effects of constitutive and induced microbiota modulation on microglia in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Acta Neuropathol. Commun.* 8:119. doi: 10.1186/s40478-020-00988-5
- Mildner, A., Schlevogt, B., Kierdorf, K., Böttcher, C., Erny, D., Kummer, M. P., et al. (2011). Distinct and non-redundant roles of microglia and myeloid subsets in mouse models of Alzheimer's disease. *J. Neurosci.* 31, 11159–11171. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6209-10.2011
- Mori, S., and Leblond, C. P. (1969). Identification of microglia in light and electron microscopy. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 135, 57–79. doi: 10.1002/cne.901350104
- Mossad, O., Batut, B., Yilmaz, B., Dokalis, N., Mezö, C., Nent, E., et al. (2022). Gut microbiota drives age-related oxidative stress and mitochondrial damage in microglia via the metabolite N6-carboxymethyllysine. Nat. Neurosci. 25, 295–305. doi: 10.1038/s41593-022-01027-3
- Nimmerjahn, A. (2012). Optical window preparation for two-photon imaging of microglia in mice. *Cold Spring Harb. Protoc.* 2012, 587–593. doi: 10.1101/pdb. prot069286
- Nimmerjahn, A., Kirchhoff, F., and Helmchen, F. (2005). Resting microglial cells are highly dynamic surveillants of brain parenchyma *in vivo*. *Science* 308, 1314–1318. doi: 10.1126/science.1110647
- Nissl, F. (1899). Über einige Beziehungen zwischen Nervenzellerkrankungen und gliösen Erscheinungen bei verschiedenen Psychosen. Arch. Psychiatr. 32, 1–21.
- Oberheim, N. A., Takano, T., Han, X., He, W., Lin, J. H. C., Wang, F., et al. (2009). Uniquely hominid features of adult human astrocytes. J. Neurosci. 29, 3276–3287. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4707-08.2009
- Orłowski, D., Sołtys, Z., and Janeczko, K. (2003). Morphological development of microglia in the postnatal rat brain. A quantitative study. *Int. J. Dev. Neurosci.* 21, 445–450. doi: 10.1016/j.ijdevneu.2003.09.001

- Paris, I., Savage, J. C., Escobar, L., Abiega, O., Gagnon, S., Hui, C.-W., et al. (2018). ProMoIJ: a new tool for automatic three-dimensional analysis of microglial process motility. *Glia* 66, 828–845. doi: 10.1002/glia.23287
- Plescher, M., Seifert, G., Hansen, J. N., Bedner, P., Steinhäuser, C., and Halle, A. (2018). Plaque-dependent morphological and electrophysiological heterogeneity of microglia in an Alzheimer's disease mouse model. *Glia* 66, 1464–1480. doi: 10.1002/glia.23318
- Portera-Cailliau, C., Weimer, R. M., De Paola, V., Caroni, P., and Svoboda, K. (2005). Diverse modes of axon elaboration in the developing neocortex. *PLoS Biol.* 3:e272. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0030272
- Roome, C. J., and Kuhn, B. (2014). Chronic cranial window with access port for repeated cellular manipulations, drug application, and electrophysiology. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 8:379. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2014.00379
- Roufagalas, I., Avloniti, M., Fortosi, A., Xingi, E., Thomaidou, D., Probert, L., et al. (2021). Novel cell-based analysis reveals region-dependent changes in microglial dynamics in grey matter in a cuprizone model of demyelination. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 157:105449. doi: 10.1016/j.nbd.2021.105449
- Savage, J. C., Carrier, M., and Tremblay, M. -È (2019). Morphology of Microglia Across Contexts of Health and Disease. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 2034, 13–26.
- Schultz, R. L., Maynard, E. A., and Pease, D. C. (1957). Electron microscopy of neurons and neuroglia of cerebral cortex and corpus callosum. Am. J. Anat. 100, 369–407. doi: 10.1002/aja.1001000305
- Shapiro, L. A., Perez, Z. D., Foresti, M. L., Arisi, G. M., and Ribak, C. E. (2009). Morphological and ultrastructural features of Iba1-immunolabeled microglial cells in the hippocampal dentate gyrus. *Brain Res.* 1266, 29–36. doi: 10.1016/j. brainres.2009.02.031
- Shih, A. Y., Mateo, C., Drew, P. J., Tsai, P. S., and Kleinfeld, D. (2012). A polished and reinforced thinned-skull window for long-term imaging of the mouse brain. J. Vis. Exp. 61:3742. doi: 10.3791/3742
- Soltys, Z., Orzylowska-Sliwinska, O., Zaremba, M., Orlowski, D., Piechota, M., Fiedorowicz, A., et al. (2005). Quantitative morphological study of microglial cells in the ischemic rat brain using principal component analysis. *J. Neurosci. Methods* 146, 50–60. doi: 10.1016/j.jneumeth.2005.01.009
- Stalder, M., Deller, T., Staufenbiel, M., and Jucker, M. (2001). 3D-Reconstruction of microglia and amyloid in APP23 transgenic mice: no evidence of intracellular amyloid. *Neurobiol. Aging* 22, 427–434. doi: 10.1016/S0197-4580(01)00209-3
- Streit, W. J., Braak, H., Del Tredici, K., Leyh, J., Lier, J., Khoshbouei, H., et al. (2018). Microglial activation occurs late during preclinical Alzheimer's disease. *Glia* 66, 2550–2562. doi: 10.1002/glia.23510
- Streit, W. J., Graeber, M. B., and Kreutzberg, G. W. (1988). Functional plasticity of microglia: a review. *Glia* 1, 301–307. doi: 10.1002/glia.440010502
- Takehara, H., Nagaoka, A., Noguchi, J., Akagi, T., Kasai, H., and Ichiki, T. (2014). Lab-on-a-brain: implantable micro-optical fluidic devices for neural cell analysis *in vivo*. Sci. Rep. 4:6721. doi: 10.1038/srep06721
- Taylor, S. E., Morganti-Kossmann, C., Lifshitz, J., and Ziebell, J. M. (2014). Rod microglia: a morphological definition. *PLoS One* 9:e97096. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0097096
- Torres-Platas, S. G., Comeau, S., Rachalski, A., Bo, G. D., Cruceanu, C., Turecki, G., et al. (2014). Morphometric characterization of microglial phenotypes in human cerebral cortex. *J. Neuroinflamm.* 11, 12. doi: 10.1186/1742-2094-11-12

- Tremblay, M. -È, Lowery, R. L., and Majewska, A. K. (2010). Microglial interactions with synapses are modulated by visual experience. *PLoS Biol.* 8:e1000527. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1000527
- van Olst, L., Rodriguez-Mogeda, C., Picon, C., Kiljan, S., James, R. E., Kamermans, A., et al. (2021). Meningeal inflammation in multiple sclerosis induces phenotypic changes in cortical microglia that differentially associate with neurodegeneration. *Acta Neuropathol.* 141, 881–899. doi: 10.1007/s00401-021-02293-4
- van Wageningen, T. A., Vlaar, E., Kooij, G., Jongenelen, C. A. M., Geurts, J. J. G., and van Dam, A.-M. (2019). Regulation of microglial TMEM119 and P2RY12 immunoreactivity in multiple sclerosis white and grey matter lesions is dependent on their inflammatory environment. *Acta Neuropathol. Commun.* 7:206. doi: 10.1186/s40478-019-0850-z
- Verdonk, F., Roux, P., Flamant, P., Fiette, L., Bozza, F. A., Simard, S., et al. (2016). Phenotypic clustering: a novel method for microglial morphology analysis. J. Neuroinflamm. 13:153. doi: 10.1186/s12974-016-0614-7
- Xiao, H., and Peng, H. (2013). APP2: automatic tracing of 3D neuron morphology based on hierarchical pruning of a gray-weighted image distance-tree. *Bioinformatics* 29, 1448–1454. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btt170
- Yang, G., Pan, F., Parkhurst, C. N., Grutzendler, J., and Gan, W.-B. (2010). Thinned-skull cranial window technique for long-term imaging of the cortex in live mice. *Nat. Protoc.* 5, 201–208. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2009.222
- York, E. M., LeDue, J. M., Bernier, L.-P., and MacVicar, B. A. (2018). 3DMorph Automatic Analysis of Microglial Morphology in Three Dimensions from Ex Vivo and In Vivo Imaging. *eNeuro* 5, ENEURO.0266-18.2018. doi: 10.1523/ ENEURO.0266-18.2018
- Zanier, E. R., Fumagalli, S., Perego, C., Pischiutta, F., and De Simoni, M.-G. (2015). Shape descriptors of the "never resting" microglia in three different acute brain injury models in mice. *Intensive Care Med. Exp.* 3:39. doi: 10.1186/s40635-015-0039-0
- Zhao, Y.-J., Yu, T.-T., Zhang, C., Li, Z., Luo, Q.-M., Xu, T.-H., et al. (2018). Skull optical clearing window for *in vivo* imaging of the mouse cortex at synaptic resolution. *Light Sci. Appl.* 7:17153. doi: 10.1038/lsa.2017.153

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Bosch and Kierdorf. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.